

BEHAVIOR OF MODEL GROUP PILES SUBJECTED TO LATERAL SOIL MOVEMENT IN SAND

*Saad Farhan Ibrahim¹ and Mohammed Khachi Hatem²

¹Faculty of Engineering, Isra University, Amman, Jordan, drsaadfarhan@yahoo.com

² Civil Engineering Department, Faculty of Engineering, Al-Mustansiriyah University, Baghdad, Iraq

*Corresponding Author, Received: 29 May 2017, Revised: 2 Oct. 2017, Accepted: 22 Dec. 2017

ABSTRACT: Laboratory model tests have been carried out to explore the behavior of piles subjected to lateral soil movement in the sand. The results of a single pile test and ten tests on of group piles arranged in a row (perpendicular to the direction of soil movement) are presented. Different parameters were utilized in these tests, (e.g., pile spacing, number of piles within a group and pile head condition). Group effect was calculated using group factor (F^m) which is defined in terms of the measured maximum bending moments of an individual with the group and that of the single pile. The results showed that the maximum bending moment of group piles decreases from the single pile with decreasing pile spacing and the pile behaves essentially the same as a single pile when the pile spacing is 7d or more. The maximum reduction of the maximum bending moments of about (17%) and (28%) as compared with that for the standard single pile may be observed for a pile spacing of 3d for the free and capped headed condition, respectively. The number of piles does not appear to have a significant effect on the group factor F^m of piles, except for the free headed inner piles who's the group factors appear to decrease with increasing the number of piles within a group.

Keywords: Piles, Sand, Lateral soil movement, Instrumentations, Bending moment

1. INTRODUCTION

Pile foundations are designed to give resistance against active load (i.e., load from the structure that is directly transferred to the pile foundation by the cap). However, in many cases, pile foundations are designed to provide resistance against the passive load, which that is induced by the lateral soil movements. Earthquakes, landslides and human activities, such as tunneling and deep excavation in the vicinity of piles, might cause irreversible soil movements [1]. These soil movements can generate lateral thrusts on the piles supporting both onshore and offshore structures, in a variety of circumstances. In all these conditions, the externally imposed soil movements will impart extra forces, cause an increase in bending moments and lateral deflections in piles which may finally cause problems and even damage to the structure of piles [2] and [3].

In practice, piles are commonly used in groups. When piles are closely spaced in a pile group, the presence of loaded piles nearby affects the behavior of each individual pile [4]. Many researchers [5]; [6];[7] found that the piles within a group may suffer some reduction in capacity compared with single isolated piles due to interaction effects. The behavior of an individual pile within the group is different from that of a single pile, and several factors may be influenced by the behavior of group piles [8].

In this study, a series of laboratory tests were conducted on some pile groups subjected to lateral soil movement for investigating the group effect on the lateral response of an individual pile within a group. Different parameters were utilized in these tests, (e.g., pile spacing, number of piles within a group and pile head condition). The piles arranged in one-row perpendicular to the direction of lateral soil movement

2. SOIL PROPERTIES

Dry sand was used in this study and it was sieved on the sieve no. 10 (2 mm) to remove the coarse particles. The specific gravity (G_s), the maximum and the minimum dry unit weights of the soil are 2.65, 16.78 kN/m³ and 14.1 kN/m³ respectively. For 59% relative density, it was found that the angle of internal friction (ϕ) is (35°). Fig. 1 shows the particles size distribution of this sand. The coefficients of curvature (C_c) and uniformity (C_u) are 1.11 and 2.67 respectively. According to the Unified Soil Classification System (USCS), the sand can be classified as poorly graded sand (SP).

3. TEST SET-UP

A special experimental apparatus was designed and manufactured for the current study to investigate the effect of lateral soil movement on pile foundation. It consists of five main parts, (i.e.,

a steel box, a loading system, a sand raining system, an aluminum piles, and a measurements system). Fig. 2 shows the overall arrangement of the testing apparatus.




Fig. 1: Particle size distribution of sand

3.1 The Steel Box

The designed steel box made of a thick steel plate having a thickness of (6.5 mm) with internal dimensions of (80cm×80cm) and (80cm) height was used to apply triangular profile shape of lateral soil movements. Across the width of the box, it was divided into two parts, upper part, and lower part. The two parts were connected by two hinges at the mid-height of the box. The upper portion is able to rotate while the lower portion is fixed which makes the soil in the box is divided into two layers (movable and stable). Four wheels were welded to the bed of the box in order to allow the box to travel from underneath sand raining to under the pile jacking system.

3.2 Loading System

Three mechanical jacks with a capacity of 3 tons (for each one) were used to apply vertical and horizontal loadings on the instrumented pile and the box respectively. The vertical jack was used to drive the pile into the model ground. A load cell with a capacity of 5 tons was connected with the vertical jack to measure the load required to install the model pile into the sand. The base of the first horizontal (near) jack was welded perpendicular to the near column of the frame in order to apply horizontal force on the near upper part of the box. A load cell with a capacity of 5 tons was connected with this near jack to measure the horizontal force acting on the near upper part of the box. By turning the near jack, the near upper moveable part of the model steel box was forced to rotate around its joints and consequently causes the upper part of the soil in the box to move. The moving soil thus is subjected to a triangular profile of horizontal movement, with the maximum displacement at the

soil surface and zero displacements at the level of joints of the upper and lower parts. The base of the second horizontal mechanical jack (rear jack) was welded perpendicular to the rear column of the frame to control the movement of the rear upper part of the box.

3.3 The Details of Pile and Pile Cap

Hollow aluminum pipes of 30 mm outer diameter, 1.4 mm wall thickness and 1000mm total length with a bottom plug were utilized as a model piles in this study. The flexural stiffness of each model pile was calculated by conducting simply supported beam test. By measuring the central deflection under a known load at the center of the beam (model pile), the flexural stiffness of the pile was found (0.91 kN.mm²). The pile caps were designed and fabricated from a solid aluminum block of 60 mm thick. On one face of the pile cap, there were predrilled holes of 30 mm deep, with a diameter to fit the piles. The diameter of each hole was made 0.5 mm greater than the pile diameter to assist the piles to the pile cap connection. The caps were not used just for connecting the pile heads together during the test (capped headed case), but also for helping the jacking system to drive the piles into the sand bed.

3.4 Instrumentations and Measurements

The data logger, strain gauges and load cell utilized in this study to determine the strains along the pile shaft and load required causing movement in the upper movable part of the model box. A compact and handheld digital data logger (TC-32K) was utilized to measure and store data from strain gauge measurement for each pile test. TML strain gauges type (FLA-5-23-3L) having a gauge resistance of 120 ± 0.3 Ohm was used to instrument the model piles. The strain gauges were fixed along the model piles using cyanoacrylate adhesive (type CN). The strain gauges were then coated with 1 mm epoxy resin in order to protect from damage during the pile driving and testing under lateral soil movement. Eight pairs of strain gauges were attached along each instrumented pile to measure the developed bending moment caused by lateral soil movement. The number of instrumented piles within the group depends on the number of piles within the group. Due to the limited channels existing in the current data loggers (maximum ten channels), several separated tests were conducted on pile groups to record the bending moments of the required individual pile, and the number of these separated tests depends on the number of piles.

3.5 The Sand Raining

A sand raining device fabricated from timber pieces, having internal dimensions of 790 mm by 790 mm, and 150 mm in height was used to pour the sand in the box. The base of the sand raining consists of two timber plates; the bottom is fixed while the top is moveable. The thickness of the timber plates was 18 mm and 6 mm for fixed and moved respectively. The fixed plate was perforated with 6 mm diameter holes on a 40 mm by 40 mm grid pattern. The moveable plate can slide along the slots on one side of the sand raining. When the top moveable plate is pulled out, the sand is discharged from the sand raining in model box. The falling height of sand was selected as 400 mm, which gave a relative density of sand about 59%, and a unit weight of 15.6 kN/m³.




Fig. 2: Test set-up

4. COMPARISON METHOD

To explore the group effects on the lateral response of piles, the pile group results were compared with that of the single pile test and hence a suitable comparison method was needed to be adopted. The group factor in term of bending moment (F^m) represents the ratio of the maximum bending moment of individual piles within a group M_{maxi} to the maximum bending moment of single pile M_{maxs} [9].

5. THE RESULTS

This section describes the results of free headed single pile (standard) test and the tests of two, three

and four piles arranged in one row for free and capped headed cases. The results of the effect of pile spacing and number of piles on response of piles in a row are presented and discussed in the following subsections.

5.1 Single Pile (Standard)

This test was performed on a free headed single pile of 30 mm in diameter; the box was filled with sand to the top. The pile length in the upper movable part (L_m) was 400 mm, while that in the lower stable part was 350 mm. The falling height of sand raining was (400mm) gave a relative density of about 59%. Fig. 3 shows the response of pile in terms of bending moment. It can be seen that the profiles of the bending moment along the pile shaft at different values of the lateral soil movements are analogous to a parabolic shape. The measured bending moment increases with increasing the lateral soil movement but the rate of the increase reduces, especially when the soil surface movement is greater than 60 mm.




Fig. 3: Bending moment distributions from the standard test

5.2 Pile Group

This test was performed on a free headed single pile of 30 mm in diameter; the box was filled with sand to the top.

5.2.1 Effect of pile spacing

For investigating the effect of pile spacing on the lateral response of pile, many tests were carried out on two piles arranged in a row for both free and capped headed cases. Three different spacing (i.e., 3d, 5d, and 7d) were adopted in these tests. Due to symmetrical arrangements of the piles in this type of pile groups, the results of the two piles were suggested to be basically identical and hence only the results of one pile was presented.

Fig. 4 presents the bending moment profiles for free headed pile group for different pile spacing together with that for the single pile test. It can be seen that the bending moment profiles are very similar in shape for all cases; including the depth of the maximum bending moment, but different in the magnitudes of the bending moments. It can be also seen that the variation of the calculated bending moment with pile spacing is mainly within the middle part of the pile length (which includes the position of the maximum bending moment); while the variation is very small in the upper and lower portions of the pile. Also, the maximum bending moment decreases from the single pile test with decreasing pile spacing. Since each pile in all cases was subjected to the same magnitude movement, this reduction in the bending moment may be due to a reduction of pile-soil contact pressure resulting from the pile-soil interaction. It can be observed from Fig. 4 that a spacing of 7d seems to be large enough to eliminate abolish the group effect. It can be indicated the pile behaves essentially the same as a single pile when the pile spacing is 7d or more.




Fig. 4: Bending moment distributions for free headed two piles

The bending moment profiles for capped headed two piles in a row for different pile spacing together with that for the single pile test are shown in Fig. 5. Although the pile cap tends to develop a negative bending moment at the pile head level, the value of this negative bending moment was very small. Therefore, the bending moment profile for all pile spacing was basically the same as that for the free headed case, but its magnitude appears to be smaller. It can be noticed that similarly to the free headed case, the maximum bending moment decreases with decreasing pile spacing.




Fig. 5: Bending moment distributions for capped headed two piles

Fig.6 shows the plot of the group factor F^m for both free and capped headed conditions and different pile spacing. It can be seen that (F^m) decreases with decreasing pile spacing for both headed cases and capped case, and it is smaller than unity for all cases. The group factor was also seen to be greater for the free headed case than that for the capped case, which may be attributed to a developed negative bending moment and a larger load sharing between the adjacent piles for the capped condition. The maximum reduction of the maximum bending moments of about (17%) and about (28%) as compared with that for the standard single pile may be observed for a pile spacing of 3d for the free headed condition and capped condition, respectively. It seems that the provision of a pile cap for two piles in a row tends to results in smaller bending moments. Therefore, the provision of a pile cap appears to be an advantage for piles in a row utilized for resisting soils from moving laterally.




Fig. 6: The group factor against the pile spacing for two piles

5.2.2 Effect of number of piles

To explore the effect of a number of piles on the lateral response of piles in a row, four tests were carried out on three piles and four piles groups for both free head and capped cases with pile spacing 3d. For both the three piles and four piles groups, the outside piles were defined as outer piles, while the inside pile(s) were defined as inner pile(s).

Fig.7a presents the bending moment profiles for the inner and outer piles for free headed three piles group (for 3d pile spacing), together with that of the single pile test. It can be noticed that the shape of the bending moment profiles is very similar for each pile, but the maximum bending moment for the outer pile is larger than the maximum bending moment for the inner pile. It can be also seen that the bending moments of the inner pile and the outer pile are smaller than the bending moment of the single pile. The similar trend is also observed for bending moment profiles for the four pile groups, but the differences in the magnitudes of the bending moments for the inner and outer piles appears to be greater than for the three piles group, as presented in Fig.7b. Also, the bending moments of the inner pile and the outer pile are smaller than the bending moment of the single pile.




Fig. 7: Bending moment profiles for free headed three and four piles

Fig. 8a presents the bending moment profiles for the inner and outer piles of capped headed three piles group (for 3d pile spacing) together with that of the single pile test. Similar to the test of two piles, the cap tends to develop negative bending moments at the pile head level piles, but its value is far less than the maximum positive bending moment. The bending moment profiles for the inner pile and the outer pile of capped headed four piles group (for 3d

pile spacing) together with that of the standard single pile test are presented in Fig. 8b.

Similar to the case of capped headed three piles group, the cap tends to develop negative bending moments at the pile head level piles. The negative bending moment appears to increase (but stay less than a maximum positive bending moment) with increasing the number of piles with the group, but the maximum positive bending moment is approximately constant.




Fig. 8: Bending moment profiles for capped headed three and four piles

The group factor F^m is plotted with the number of piles to explore the influence of the number of piles on the lateral response and the resisting force provided by piles. As shown in Fig. 9 the effect of the number of piles within a group on the group factor F^m , for both the free headed and capped cases is seen to be different for the inner pile and outer pile due to load sharing which develops between the adjacent piles. The number of piles does not appear to have a significant effect on the group factor F^m of piles, except for the free headed inner piles (i.e., the group factors appear to decrease with increasing the number of piles within a group).




Fig. 9: The group factor against pile spacing for piles in a row

6. CONCLUSIONS

Laboratory model tests have been conducted on group piles arranged in the row in addition to single pile subjected to lateral soil movement in the sand to investigate the effects of the pile's spacing, a number of piles and piles headed condition on lateral response of piles. The results of the tests indicate that the maximum bending moment for both free and capped headed cases decreases from the single pile with decreasing pile spacing and the group piles behave essentially the same as a single pile when the pile spacing is 7d or more. The maximum reduction of the maximum bending moments of about (17%) and about (28%) as compared with that for the standard single pile may be observed for a pile spacing of 3d for the free and capped headed conditions, respectively. The maximum bending moment for the outer pile was larger than the maximum bending moment for the inner pile. For four piles group, the differences in the magnitudes of the bending moments for the inner and outer piles appears to be greater than those for the three piles group. The number of piles does not appear to have a significant effect on the group factor F_m of piles, except for the free headed inner piles whose the group factors appear to decrease with increasing the number of piles within a group.

7. REFERENCES

- [1] Qin HY. "Response of Pile Foundations due to Lateral Force and Soil Movements". Ph.D. Thesis, Griffith University, 2010.
- [2] Pan JL, Goh AT, Wong KS, Selby AR. "Three-dimensional analysis of single pile response to lateral soil movements". *International Journal for Numerical and Analytical Methods in Geomechanics*. 2002 Jul 1;26(8):747-58.
- [3] Al-Abboodi I, Toma-Sabbagh T, Al-Jazaairry A. "Modelling the response of single passive piles subjected to lateral soil movement using PLAXIS". *International Journal of Engineering Research & Technology*. 2015 Mar 13;4(3):176-80.
- [4] Poulos HG, Davis EH. "Pile foundation analysis and design". 1980.
- [5] Broms BB. "Lateral resistance of piles in cohesionless soils". *Journal of the Soil Mechanics and Foundations Division*. 1964 May;90(3):123-58.
- [6] Randolph MF. "The response of flexible piles to lateral loading". *Geotechnique*. 1981 Jun;31(2):247-59.
- [7] Chen LT, Poulos HG. "Piles subjected to lateral soil movements". *Journal of Geotechnical and Geoenvironmental Engineering*. 1997 Sep;123(9):802-11.
- [8] Kong G, Zhou Y, Yang Q. "Group effect of drag load in pile groups embedded in consolidating soil under embankment load". *KSCE Journal of Civil Engineering*. 2016 Sep 1;20(6):2208-20.
- [9] AlAbdullah S F, Taresh N S. "Evaluation of Soil Reinforcement with Geogrid in Subgrade Layer using FE Techniques". *International Journal of GEOMATE*, 13(35), pp. 173-179, 2017.

Copyright © Int. J. of GEOMATE. All rights reserved, including the making of copies unless permission is obtained from the copyright proprietors.