HYDRAULIC CONDUCTIVITY IN LOESSIC STABILIZED SOIL

Alercia Biga Carolina, Arrúa Pedro, Eberhardt Marcelo, Aiassa Martinez Gonzalo

Grupo de Investigación y transferencia en Geotecnia, Estructuras y Fundaciones (GIGEF), Facultad Regional Córdoba, Universidad Tecnológica Nacional, Argentina - Departamento de Ingeniería, Civil, Argentina

ABSTRACT: Loessic soils are the main geological storage available as a building material in Cordoba, Argentina. They are a typically kind of clayed silty soil with a metastable structure. Their structure makes them sensitive to collapse and sliding upon wetting. This has an important influence on mechanical and hydraulic behavior. Thus, usually their hydraulic properties are modified by compaction methods or by bentonite addition. Infiltration tests were done using remolded samples and a flexible wall permeameter. Compression tests were executed in a universal compression machine. Were performed in addition, capillary rise assays. Water content, dry unit weight, and percentages of ionic stabilizer and bentonite have been studied. Experiment results were compared between mixtures with different percentages of additives. The results show that the infiltration volume decreases with increasing content of bentonite in all remoulded samples tested respect to those natural soil remoulded samples, and it has been identified that the addition of bentonite increases the compressive strength by 400%.

Keywords: Silty Clay, Infiltration Test, Flexible Wall Permeameter, Falling Head Method

1. INTRODUCTION

The loess soils have particles from 10 to 50 microns. In Argentina, they cover a wide area. [1]. Their structure is characterized by a randomly open and loose particle packing with high porosity and significant amount of macroscopic pores as a result of wind depositions over the years. The increment in water content cause a dissolution of soluble salts bridges and this reduce the shear strength [2]. In Córdoba city, usually geotechnical properties of loessic soils are modified by compaction or with chemical stabilizers [3]. Addition of sodium bentonite in soil has shown improvement of hydraulic properties [4]. During last year, artificial products have appeared in market, to reduce absorption capacity of loessic soils. In this paper, analyzes and compares the improvement in the hydraulic and mechanical properties of loess by incorporation of bentonite and base polymeric chemical stabilizer. Coefficient of permeability, absorption capacity and stress strain curves are show in this work.

2. MATERIALS

2.1 Loessic soil - Bentonite

The soil used was obtained from boreholes at 1m depth, in Ciudad Universitaria, Córdoba. Sodium bentonite was used, provided by Minarmco SA deposits from Pellegrini Lake, Black River, located at north of Patagonia. Composed of 92% of

motmorillonita, and lower percentages of quartz, gibbsite, feldspar, calcite and zeolites. It has a high sodium content product as a result of the presence of soluble salts and cations retained in the thickness of the diffuse double layer. The magnesium comes from octahedral positions of the clay structure, from soluble salts and exchangeable cations. Generally show high proportions of iron between 4% and 6%. It has a high cation exchange capacity, which varies between 76 and 97 meq/100g. The exchangeable ions are Na⁺, Ca⁺⁺, Mg⁺⁺ and K⁺, with a predominance of sodium cations, hence its classification [5]. It has high plasticity and high swelling capacity. Table 1 presents the principals geotechnical properties of the soils used in this work.

2.2 Base Polymeric Ionic Stabilizer (BPIS)

BPIS is a viscous liquid, colorless, without odor, miscible in water. It has a ph (13-14) and density is 1.05 gr/cm³. BPIS are composed of a biodegradable polymer, mineral salts and cationic surfactant (potassium polyacrylate, potassium hydroxide and Belzanconio chloride). The ionic stabilizer is an argentinian additive provided by Polydem Argentina SA, and it called Poly-Ses 028. The percentages of chemical composition are reserved by industrial protection. The chemical process of soil stabilization is produced by neutralization of the electrochemical activity of the clay particles (with negative electric charge) thus avoiding the adsorption of positive cations from water molecules, and so promote the attraction between particles ground, reducing the empty spaces and consequently increasing the density of the structure. The manufacturer ensures that the stabilizer BPIS modifies the surface tension of water on granular bodies and increases the contact angle of the water present in the interstices of the soil.

3. METHODS

3.1 Soil/Bentonite and Soil/ BPIS mixtures

The materials were collected on trays at 20°C during 24hs. Soil passing sieve 100 was used. Soil has been drying at 105 °C during 24 hs. The loessic soil comparison specimen was dry unit weight γ_d (kN/m³) = 12.4, initial water content ω_{lni} (%) = 18.1.

Two groups of soil were selected, (a) loess and bentonite in dry weight in percentages SB1 = 3%, SB2 = 6% and SB3 = 9%, the ω_{ini} (%) = 18.2, 18.2, 19.6, the γ_d =12.8, 12.5, 12.7 respectively, and (b) loessic soil and BPIS with SE1 = 0.5 ‰, SE2 = 1.0 ‰ and SE3 = 1.5 ‰ incorporated in water. The ω_{ini} (%) in static compaction was 18.5 16.3 17.7, and γ_d (kN/m³) 13.6, 13.7, 13.3 respectively. The specimens were 0.07m in diameter and 0.14m in high. Static compaction method was used to prepare samples, in cylindrical molds. The specimen test was built and compacted in three layers of equal thickness. Extraction of samples was performed using a hydraulic jack. In order to conserve water content, plastic bags were used.

Table 1 Materials propertie

Properties	Loess	Bentonite
$\gamma_d (kN/m^3)$	12.2-14.5	
γ (kN/m ³)	14.9-16.8	
LL (%)	20.8-32.2	301
<i>IP</i> (%)	0-8	231
Gs	2.68	2.71
Ps 200 (%)	96	100
Clay content<		
0,002 mm (%)	4	85
SUCS	CL-ML	СН
$Ss (m^2/g)$	1	731(*)
Ph	> 8	7-7.5
Sc (%)	0.38	< 0.1

Note: γ = natural unit weight, *LL* = Liquid limit, *IP* = Plasticity index, *Gs* = Specific gravity, *Ps* = passing ieve, *Ss* = Specific surface, *Sc* = salt content. (*) [12]

3.2 Infiltration test

A flexible wall permeameter was used to evaluate hydraulic properties of samples. Variablehead permeability test was conducted [6]. Fig. 1

Loess-bentonite samples were infiltrated in unsaturated and saturated condition. At the ends of each sample, filter paper and porous stones were used. Porous stones were saturated during 24 hours. During infiltration tests, the gradient was 10 [6].

Pressures for the camera, upper and lower head were taken as 117 kPa, 114 kPa and 100 kPa respectively. Deaerated water was used as permeant fluid.



Fig. 1 Infiltration equipment. (a) Infiltration cell.(b) Transfer tank. (c) Pressure-volume panel

In unsatured condition, the infiltration rate (I_r) is adopted (Eq. (1)).

$$I_r = \frac{\Delta V(t)}{\Delta t \ A} \tag{1}$$

Where ΔV : volume infiltrate during time Δt , A: cross section specimen area. Under saturated condition the permeability parameter k is obtained with Eq. (2).

$$k = \frac{a \ L}{A \ \Delta t} Ln \left(\frac{PB_1 + \frac{V_{u(t_1)} - V_{l(t_1)}}{a}}{PB_2 + \frac{V_{u(t_2)} - V_{l(t_2)}}{a}} \right)$$
(2)

Where *a*: area of burette, *L*: length of sample, *A*: area of sample, Δt : lapsed time, *PBi*: bias pressure, *Vu(ti)*: volume reading of upper burette at time i, *Vl(ti)*: volume reading of lower burette at time i. The saturation level was calculated as $B = [(u_2 - u_1)/(\sigma_2 - \sigma_1)]$. Where $u_2 - u_1$: increase in pore pressure, $\sigma_2 - \sigma_1$: increase in cell pressure. We consider that, B at 98% is saturation condition [7]. At the end of experiment, the water content was established in each sample.

3.3 Unconfined compression (UC)

For UC test a mechanical press was used instrumented with a load cell with a capacity of 50kN and a digital comparator for recording displacements with a precision of 0.001 mm, to a constant deformation rate of 2.4 mm/min. Fig. 2

UC tests is used to evaluate the stress-strain characteristics and the stiffness properties of the Soil-Additive-Mixture. Secant modulus at 1% of axial strain was defined.



Fig.2 Marshall press machine for unconfined compression tests

3.4 Capillary rise

To set the speed of capillary rise was used a metal container with the addition of distilled water. The specimens were placed on metal cylinders with 0.03 m height and slotted base. It was measured the rise of moisture in function of time.



Fig.3 Capillary rise test

Fig. 3 shows the progress of hydration in the soil-bentonite specimens during testing capillary rise.

4.1 Infiltration test

The results of infiltration tests were:

 ω (3%)= 34.6 %, ω (6%)= 26.4 %, ω (9%)= 34.7 %, ω (0.5 ‰)= 29.2 %, ω (1 ‰)= 29.7 %, ω (1.5 ‰)= 37.8 % and ω (soil)= 29.5%.

Incorporating clay to the silty soil produces a decrease in the infiltration and also on the permeability coefficient [8]-[10]. No records of permeability tests results were found on remoulded samples with densities near a value 13 kN/m². Figure 4 shows the response of bentonite – soil samples under infiltration tests. In Unsatured conditions, with uncontrolled suction level, it has been pretended to simulate field conditions.

Under saturation condition decreasing permeability coefficients with increasing percentage of bentonite is observed. The minimum value of permeability was obtained for samples with addition of 9% of bentonite.

The permeability parameter magnitudes were, k $_{SB1}$ = 1.36 x10 $^{-6}$ m/s, k $_{SB2}$ = 1.22 x10 $^{-6}$ m/s , k $_{SB3}$ = 8.03 x10 $^{-7}$ m/s.

One possible explanation for this behavior is a high exchange capacity cation of sodium bentonite, provided by the presence of sodium ions Na^+ , providing attraction in the water particles, increasing the thickness of the diffuse double layer. Swelling of the ions Na^+ also occurs, develop their full potential and affect the hydraulic conductivity. This behavior is accentuated with increasing bentonite

Figure 4, also shows the hydraulic behavior of the specimens with the addition of stabilizer. It was observed a lower volume of fluid filtered with increases the contained of stabilizer in the blends.

Values being lower permeability, so the lowest permeability value is recorded for samples with 1.5 % stabilizer. The value obtained was k = 3.98 x10 ⁻⁶ m/s.



Fig. 4 Infiltration test on soil /bentonite and soil / BPIS samples

4. RESULTS DISCUSSION

4.2 Unconfined compression (UC)

The results of unconfined compression are shown on Fig. 5. The graphic shows that stiffness increase with bentonite content.

The increase of bentonite content causes an increase of the stiffness four times. The values obtained were $Es_{SB1} = 19.7 \text{ kN/m}^2$, $Es_{SB2} = 25.6 \text{ kN/m}^2$, $Es_{SB3} = 41.8 \text{ kN/m}^2$.

There is no significant change in stiffness properties in soil-EIBP samples. The increment was once more and a half. The values obtained were *Es* $_{SE1} = 11.6 \text{ kN/m}^2$, *Es* $_{SE2} = 16.6 \text{ kN/m}^2$, *Es* $_{SE3} = 16.8 \text{ kN/m}^2$ and *Es* $_{SOIL} = 10.1 \text{ kN/m}^2$.



Fig.5 Unconfined compression test on soil /bentonite and soil / BPIS samples

Figure 6, shows in a separately form, the hydraulic behavior of bentonite - soil samples and samples with addition of ionic stabilizer.

Higher compression resistance is achieved in samples with bentonite than BPIS samples. The value obtained was 36.95 kN/m^2 .

4.3 Capillary rise

Figure 7 shows the progress of hydration in the soil-bentonite specimens for capillary rise testing. The velocity of capillary rise increases with the amount of bentonite. Note that no significant difference was observed in samples with 3% and 6%.





Fig. 6 Stress-strain curves unconfined compression test. (a) Soil/Bentonite. (b) Soil/BPIS



Fig. 7 Capillary rise. Soil/Bentonite

Figure 8 presents the results of the mixtures with ionic stabilizer. The results indicate that the speed of capillary rise decreases with increasing stabilizer content.

An explanation of the phenomenon is the increase of ionic stabilizer cause a reduction of the electrostatic potential of the soil particles, avoiding its adsorption capacity. In that way, negative charges present in soil, are neutralized, preventing the attraction of particles with positive electric charge, present in water molecules. This chemical process cause an attraction between solid particles and a rearrangement of the mineral skeleton reducing voids.

In addition, the contact angle between water molecules and soil particles is increased, and its result is a lower wettability [11].





5. CONCLUSION

This paper has presented a study on mixtures of loess soil, bentonite and materials and revised the importance of interparticle interactions and their influence on the mechanical and hydraulically performance of the material. Water content, unit weight, and percentage of stabilizer have been studied. For low unit weights ($\gamma_d = 13$ kN/m³) have been identified the main results as follows:

Hydraulic properties: (a) in unsaturated state, the infiltration volume decreases with increasing content of bentonite. (b) Soil / PBIS shows no reduction in volume infiltration compared to loess soil in the dosage used. In saturated condition, the behavior tendencies are similar to unsaturated conditions.

Unconfined compression: (a) It has been identified that the addition of bentonite increases the compressive strength by 400%. (b) Unconfined compression test shows no increase of resistance with PBIS addition.

Capillary rise: (a) the capillary rise increase with the content of bentonite. (b) The capillary rise decreases with increase of EIBP content.

6. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors thanks the support of Universidad Tecnológica Nacional Facultad Regional Córdoba, Ministerio de Ciencia y Tecnología, Gobierno de la Provincia de Córdoba (PID-GRF), and FONCyT Agencia Nacional de Promoción Científica y Tecnológica (PICT).

7. REFERENCES

- Aiassa G, Zeballos M, Arrúa P and Terzariol R, "Infiltración en suelos limosos compactados", XIX Congreso Argentino de Mecánica de Suelos e Ingeniería Geotécnica, Octubre 2008, La Plata, Argentina
- [2] Aiassa G, Zeballos M, Arrúa P and Terzariol R, "Infiltración en suelos limosos compactados", XIX Congreso Argentino de Mecánica de Suelos e Ingeniería Geotécnica, Octubre 2008, La Plata, Argentina

- [3] Arrúa P, Aiassa G., and Eberhardt M. "Behavior of collapsible loessic soil after interparticle cementation", International J. of GEOMATE, Vol. 1, 2011, pp. 130–135
- [4] Arrúa, P.; Aiassa, G. and Eberhardt, M. Loess soil stabilized with cement for civil engineering applications. International Journal of Earth Sciences and Engineering. ISSN: 0974-5904. Vol. 5, No 1, 2012.pp.10-18
- [5] Aiassa G. and Arrúa P, "Desempeño de barreras sanitarias simples de suelo loessico compactado", Revista Tecnología y Ciencia, Año 8, 2009, pp. 26–40.
- [6] Hyang-Sig Ahn, Ho Young Jo, "Influence of exchangeable cations on hydraulic conductivity of compacted bentonite." Journal of Applied Clay Science, Vol 44, 2009. pp.144-150.
- [7] ASTM. D 5084. Standard Test Methods for Measurement of Hydraulic Conductivity of Satured porous Materials using a Flexible Wall Permeameter.
- [8] Fredlund, D,G; Rahardjo, H; Soils Mechanics for unsatured soils. John wiley and Sons. 1993. NY. USA.
- [9] Cuisinier,O; Auriol, J.Cl;Le Borgne, T; Deneele, D; "Microestructure and hidraulic conductivity of a compacted lime-treated soil", Journal of Engineering Geology. Vol. 123, 2011 pp.187-193.
- [10] Qiong Wang ,Yu-Jun Cui, Anh Minh Tang, Jean-Dominique Barnichon, Simona Saba, Wei-Min Ye "Hydraulic conductivity and microstructure changes of compacted bentonite/sand mixture during hydration". Journal of Engineering Geology. Vol 164, 2013 pp 67-76.
- [11] India Sudhakar M. Rao, Ravi K., "Hydromechanical characterization of Barmer 1 bentonite from Rajasthan, India". Journal of Nuclear Engineering and Design. Vol 265. 2013. pp 330-340.
- [12] Mitchell K. James. Fundamentals of Soils Behavior. Editorial John Wiley and Sons. Inc. 1993. NY. USA
- [13] Santamarina J., Klein K, Wang Y., and Prencke
 E. Specific surface: determination and relevance.
 2002 Published on the NRC Research Press
 Web site at http://cgj.nrc.ca

Int. J. of GEOMATE, Dec., 2015, Vol. 9, No. 2 (Sl. No. 18), pp. 1510-1514.

MS No. 4158 received on Nov. 29, 2014 and reviewed under GEOMATE publication policies. Copyright © 2015, International Journal of GEOMATE. All rights reserved, including the making of copies unless permission is obtained from the copyright proprietors. Pertinent discussion including authors' closure, if any, will be published in Dec. 2016 if the discussion is received by June 2016.

Corresponding Author: Alercia Carolina