# ASSESSING URBAN WATER SUPPLY SYSTEM IN NORTHEASTERN THAILAND: WATER QUALITY AND AUTHORITY ORGANIZATION

\*Jareeya Yimrattanabavorn<sup>1</sup>, Oranee Rungrueang<sup>2</sup>, Sudjit Karuchit<sup>3</sup> and Pensupa Wirikitkhul<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1,2,3,4</sup>Institute of Engineering, Suranaree University of Technology, Thailand

\*Corresponding Author, Received: 24 Nov. 2017, Revised: 9 April 2018, Accepted: 27 April 2018

**ABSTRACT:** Providing safe water supply is one of the important public health priorities, the water quality of water supply is closely associated with human health. The aim of this study was to assess the quality of water in the urban water supply systems and their authority organizations including the existing performance of the water supply systems and their organizations. The sampling locations were covered by 4 cities, namely Nakhon Ratchasima (Korat), Chaiyaphum, Buriram and Surin provinces. There was the total of 13 sampling site locations of the urban water supply systems which are owned by the provincial and municipal waterworks authorities. Water samples of each sampling site location were collected from water resources, storage tanks, and household water taps, and analyzed for various parameters. The results showed that the water quality of the urban water supply systems had met the water quality standards for the parameters: turbidity, TSS, TDS, Nitrate, and total coliform, except color and iron due to the retention time of the sedimentation process which was not the proper range. Besides, there were problems with the residual chlorine in storage tanks and household tap water which was lower than the standard limit and might affect population health. There was no difference between the performance of the provincial and municipal waterworks authorities. The outcome of this study can support the improvement of the urban water supply systems and their authority organizations.

Keywords: Water supply, Water quality, Provincial waterworks authority, Municipal waterworks authority

## **1. INTRODUCTION**

Access to the safe water supply is a basic concern for human health and health protection. According to the World Health Organization (WHO), a concentration of microorganisms, parasites or substances posing a possible risk to human health has to be prevented [1]. The water quality of water supply is closely associated with human health. If the water supply was contaminated by pollutants and microorganisms, it would affect water qualities and the health of the population. Thus, water supply systems and authority organizations are obliged to monitor water quality for human consumption. The water qualities need to meet the standard, which is why the quality of water resources and tap water of water supply systems should be assessed to state aspects of problems. Water supply in urban areas is challenged by increasing population. In this study, the sampling locations were covered by 4 cities, namely Nakhon Ratchasima (Korat), Chaiyaphum, Buriram and Surin provinces. This region covers more than one-third of the country which is called "Korat plateau". The water supply consumption in this area has been seriously concerned because of the low level of rainfall intensity and a long dry period in this area. This study is one of four subprojects in the research project, "Study of Management Efficiency of Water Resources and Urban Water Supply Systems in North-Eastern

Region in Thailand". The main goal was to thoroughly evaluate the existing urban water supply systems in the northeastern region of Thailand in terms of water resources, water quality, associated health risks and engineering aspects. The aim of this study was to assess the quality of water in the urban water supply systems and their authority organizations including the existing performance of the water supply systems and their organizations. The outcome of this study can support the improvement of the urban water supply systems and their authority organizations.

## 2. METHODS

## 2.1 Study Sites and Sampling

This study was carried out to collect water from the urban water supply system in the lower northeastern of Thailand. The area covered 4 provinces, namely Nakhon Ratchasima (N), Chaiyaphum (C), Buriram (B) and Surin (S) provinces as shown in Fig.1. The urban water supply system typically consisted of the coagulationflocculation, sedimentation, filtration. and disinfection systems. The water source of the water treatment system was commonly taken from the surface water. The water supply systems in northeastern Thailand are organized by two organizations: the provincial waterworks authority



Fig.1 Map of the study area and the sampling locations

and the local authority. Thus, this study focused on the urban water supply systems owned by the provincial and municipal waterworks authorities. The total of 13 sampling site locations of the urban water supply system was collected during the dry period (January-April, 2016) and the rainy period (July-October, 2016). The distribution of the 13 sampling site locations was shown in Table 1.

## **2.2 Analytical Methods**

Water samples of each sampling site location were collected from water resources, storage tanks

Table 1 Sampling site locations of the urban water supply system

| Study | Auth       | Authority organization |       |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|-------|------------|------------------------|-------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| area  | Provincial | Municipal              | Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ν     | 2          | 4                      | 6     |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| С     | 2          | 1                      | 3     |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| В     | 1          | 1                      | 2     |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| S     | 1          | 1                      | 2     |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 6          | 7                      | 13    |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 2 Parameters and analytical methods

and household water taps. Water samples were analyzed for various parameters by analytical methods as shown in Table 2.

#### 2.3 Data Analysis

#### 2.3.1 Water quality assessment

The results of the water quality were checked with the guideline of World Health Organization (WHO) for the water resources quality and the standard of the metropolitan waterworks authority and for the storage tank water quality and the household tap water quality as shown in Table 3. The percentages of the water samples that did not exceed the standard were calculated by using the Eq. (1)

% Not exceed standard = 
$$\frac{Number of samples that}{\frac{were not exceed standard}{Total sample}} \times 100$$
 (1)

#### 2.3.2 Static analysis

The results of the water quality of the provincial and municipal waterworks authorities were analyzed by mean and standard deviation (SD) and analysis of variance with an Independent Sample Test (2-tailed) SPSS Statistics Version 22.0.

| Parameters   | Analytical methods [2]                                     | Parameters                    | Analytical methods [2]  |  |  |  |
|--------------|--|-------------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| Physical and | Chemical quality   | Physical and Chemical quality |   |  |  |  |
| Turbidity    | 2130 B. Nephelometric Method                               | Nitrite, Nitrate              | 4500-NO <sub>2</sub> <sup>-</sup> , NO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> B. Spectrophotom |  |  |  |
| Colour       | 2120 D. Spectrophotometric Method                          | Fluoride                      | 4500-F-D. SPADNS Method   |  |  |  |
| BOD          | 5210 B. 5-day BOD Test Method                              | Ammonia-N                     | 4500-NH <sub>3</sub> C. Titrimetric Method  |  |  |  |
| Iron         | 3500-Fe B. Phenanthroline Method                           | TKN                           | 4500-Norg Kjeldahl Method   |  |  |  |
| Manganese    | 3500-Mn B. Persulfate Method                               | TDS, TSS                      | 2540 C., D. Gravimetric Method  |  |  |  |
| Hardness     | 2340 C. EDTA Titrimetric Method                            | Residual chlorine             | 4500-Cl B. Iodometric Method  |  |  |  |
| Sulfate      | 4500-SO <sub>4</sub> <sup>2-</sup> E. Turbidimetric Method | Biological quality            |   |  |  |  |
| Chloride     | 4500-Cl <sup>-</sup> B. Argentometric Method               | Total Coliform                | 9222 B. Membrane Filter Method  |  |  |  |

Table 3 Water quality standards

| Demonsterne  | I In ite      | Water<br>stan | quality<br>dards |
|--------------|---------------|---------------|------------------|
| Parameters   | Units         | Surface       | Тар              |
|              |               | water*        | water**          |
| Physical and | l Chemical qu | uality        |                  |
| Turbidity    | NTU           | -             | $\leq 4$         |
| Colour       | Pt-Co         | $\leq 300$    | $\leq 15$        |
| DO           | mg/L          | $\geq 4$      | -                |
| BOD          | mg/L          | $\leq 2$      | -                |
| Nitrate      | mg/L          | $\leq 5$      | $\leq 50$        |
| Iron         | mg/L          | $\leq 50$     | $\leq 0.3$       |
| Manganese    | mg/L          | $\leq 5$      | $\leq 0.3$       |
| Hardness     | mg/L          | $\leq 500$    | -                |
| Chloride     | mg/L          | -             | $\leq 250$       |
| Ammonia      | mg/L          | < 0.5         | -                |
| nitrogen     | ing/ L        | _ 0.5         |                  |
| TDS          | mg/L          | $\leq 1500$   | $\leq 1500$      |
| Residual     | mg/I          | _             | > 2              |
| chlorine     | ing/L         |               | <u> </u>         |
| Biological q | uality        |               |                  |
| Total        | MPN/100       | < 20000       | Not found        |
| Coliform     | mL            | _ 20000       | 1 of 10ulu       |

\*: The guideline of World Health Organization

\*\*: Standard of metropolitan waterworks authority

#### **3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

## 3.1 Water Quality Assessment

#### 3.1.1 Surface water resource quality

The results of the surface water resource quality were presented in Table 4. The results showed the parameters: turbidity, Iron, hardness, sulfate, chloride, TKN, and ammonia-N, which met the guideline of WHO and did not exceed the standard values in 100% of the samples. However, for the parameters: color, DO, manganese, nitrate, total coliform and BOD, the number of the samples that exceeded the standard limit was in a range of 8-50% of the samples. In addition, about 50% of all

sampling site locations had the surface water resource quality that exceeded the standard limit for BOD. These indicated that the water resources of the urban water supply were polluted due to wastewater, urban areas, and dense population. Moreover, Nakhon Ratchasima is the second largest city in Thailand and has the poorest water resources among the other sampling cities.

#### 3.1.2 Water quality of storage tanks

As shown in Table 5, the water quality of the storage tanks had values of the parameters: TSS, TDS, nitrate and total coliform, which met the standard of the metropolitan waterworks authority in 100% of the water samples. The water quality of the storage tanks in Nakhon Ratchasima also had limits exceeded for the parameters: color, turbidity, iron, manganese and residual chlorine. These results indicated that there might be problems with the process of water treatment. These findings were supported by the conclusion of the sub-research project that the retention time of the sedimentation process was not the proper range [3].

#### 3.1.3 Water quality of household tap water

As shown in Table 6, the water quality of household tab water had values of the parameters: turbidity, TSS, TDS, nitrate, and total coliform, which met the standard of the metropolitan waterworks authority in 100 % of the samples. However, it was found that more than 50% of the water samples had residual chlorine less than the standard limit and had lower concentrations than the storage tanks. These results indicated that the distribution system might have problems with leakage of piping. It was supported by the conclusion of the sub-research project that found the amount of water loss from the distribution system of the water supply system which was in a range of 31-47% of water production [3]. These might affect population health.

Table 4 The percentage of water samples from water sources that did not exceed the standard

|                      |        |           |       |       | Wate      | er quality | of the v | vater sou | irce |            |                     |       |                   |
|----------------------|--------|-----------|-------|-------|-----------|------------|----------|-----------|------|------------|---------------------|-------|-------------------|
| Physical<br>Chemical |        |           |       |       |           |            |          |           |      | Biological |                     |       |                   |
| Stud                 | Colour | Turbidity | DO    | Iron  | Manganese | Hardness   | Sulfate  | Chloride  | BOD  | Nitrate    | Ammonia<br>nitrogen | TKN   | Total<br>Coliform |
| Ν                    | 91.7   | 100.0     | 83.3  | 100.0 | 91.7      | 100.0      | 100.0    | 100.0     | 58.3 | 91.7       | 100.0               | 100.0 | 83.3              |
| С                    | 75.0   | 100.0     | 50.0  | 100.0 | 100.0     | 100.0      | 100.0    | 100.0     | 50.0 | 100.0      | 100.0               | 100.0 | 100.0             |
| В                    | 100.0  | 100.0     | 75.0  | 100.0 | 100.0     | 100.0      | 100.0    | 100.0     | 50.0 | 100.0      | 100.0               | 100.0 | 100.0             |
| S                    | 100.0  | 100.0     | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0     | 100.0      | 100.0    | 100.0     | 50.0 | 100.0      | 100.0               | 100.0 | 100.0             |

Fig.2 shows 95% confidence intervals of 4 parameters: color, turbidity, coliform bacteria, and iron. Their levels and variances in the storage tanks

and the tap water were significantly lower than the water sources, indicating the good efficiency of treatment plants.

Table 5 The percentage of water samples from storage tanks that did not exceed the standard

| _       |        |           |          | Water qual | ity of storag | e tank |         |                      |                   |  |
|---------|--------|-----------|----------|------------|---------------|--------|---------|----------------------|-------------------|--|
| ly area |        | Physical  | Chemical |            |               |        |         |                      |                   |  |
| Stud    | Colour | Turbidity | Iron     | Manganese  | SST           | SQT    | Nitrate | Residual<br>chlorine | Total<br>Coliform |  |
| Ν       | 50.0   | 91.7      | 41.7     | 66.7       | 100.0         | 100.0  | 100.0   | 58.3                 | 100.0             |  |
| С       | 100.0  | 100.0     | 100.0    | 88.3       | 100.0         | 100.0  | 100.0   | 50.0                 | 100.0             |  |
| В       | 100.0  | 100.0     | 75.0     | 100.0      | 100.0         | 100.0  | 100.0   | 50.0                 | 100.0             |  |
| S       | 25.0   | 100.0     | 100.0    | 75.0       | 100.0         | 100.0  | 100.0   | 50.0                 | 100.0             |  |

Table 6 The percentage of water samples of household tap water that did not exceed the standard

|         |        |           | W     | ater quality | of household | d tap water |         |                      |                   |
|---------|--------|-----------|-------|--------------|--------------|-------------|---------|----------------------|-------------------|
| ly area |        | Physical  |       |              | lenined.     | CIERTINAL   |         |                      | Biological        |
| Stuc    | Colour | Turbidity | Iron  | Manganese    | TSS          | SQT         | Nitrate | Residual<br>chlorine | Total<br>Coliform |
| Ν       | 50.0   | 100.0     | 50.0  | 80.0         | 100.0        | 100.0       | 100.0   | 50.0                 | 100.0             |
| С       | 100.0  | 100.0     | 100.0 | 100.0        | 100.0        | 100.0       | 100.0   | 25.0                 | 100.0             |
| В       | 100.0  | 100.0     | 75.0  | 100.0        | 100.0        | 100.0       | 100.0   | 50.0                 | 100.0             |
| S       | 50.0   | 100.0     | 100.0 | 75.0         | 100.0        | 100.0       | 100.0   | 0.0                  | 100.0             |



Fig.2 95% confidence intervals of water quality at the 3 locations

#### 3.2 Efficiency of Water Supply System Plants

The efficiencies of the water supply system were calculated by the reduction of the concentration of the water qualities from the water resources to the storage tanks. Figs.3, 4 and 5 showed the efficiency of the urban water supply system in a range of 76.6-95.8% for color, 47.1-82.9% for iron and 0-68.5% for manganese. These results indicated that only Chaiyaphum urban water supply system had a manganese removal efficiency higher than 50% while the others had it lower than 25%. To assess the distribution system by comparing the water qualities between the storage tanks and the household tap water, Fig.6 showed an increase of iron concentration from the storage tanks to the household tap water. These results indicated that the distribution system of the water supply system had problems. In many types of research were included that iron pipe can corrode and leach iron into a household water system [3-5]. It was supported by the conclusion of the sub-research project that there was a potential problem of leakage in the water supply system and the maintenance of equipment [6]. The distribution systems in many cities in Thailand are a decade old. Moreover, numerous water contaminants have been reported in many cities in Pakistan, which could be the results of



Fig.3 Removal efficiency of color in the urban water supply system



Fig.4 Removal efficiency of iron in the urban water supply system

decades-old cast iron pipes and mixing of sewage water with potable water in the poorly managed water distribution system [7, 8].

#### 3.3 The Authority Organization Comparison

To assess the performance of the authority organizations by comparing the water qualities of the provincial and municipal waterworks authorities, the percentages of the water samples were compared, which did not exceed the standard levels as shown in Table 7 for water sources, Table 8 for storage tanks and Table 9 for household tap water. The data of the water resource qualities were analyzed by Independent Sample Test (2-tailed), and the p-values, means, and SD of the water qualities were presented in Table 10. The results of the pvalues showed that there was no significance in all parameters between the water resource qualities of the provincial and municipal waterworks authorities except the sulfate parameter. However, it was shown that many parameters had high SD values, which indicated that the data were fluctuating. When considering the mean values, it was shown that the municipal waterworks authority had better water qualities than those of the provincial waterworks authority.



Fig.5 Removal efficiency of manganese in the urban water supply system



Fig. 6 The comparison of iron concentration between storage tanks and household tap water

 Table 7 The percentage of water samples from water sources that did not exceed the standard compared between Provincial and Municipal waterworks authorities

| ų                       |                      | Water quality of the water source |               |                |               |                |                |                |              |               |                |                |                   |
|-------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------|----------------|---------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|--------------|---------------|----------------|----------------|-------------------|
| organizatio             | Physical<br>Chemical |                                   |               |                |               |                |                |                | Biological   |               |                |                |                   |
| Authority               | Colour               | Turbidity                         | DO            | Iron           | Manganese     | Hardness       | Sulfate        | Chloride       | BOD5         | Nitrate       | Ammonia-<br>N  | TKN            | Total<br>Coliform |
| Provincial<br>Municipal | 90.0<br>92.9         | 100.0<br>100.0                    | 100.0<br>64.3 | 100.0<br>100.0 | 100.0<br>92.9 | 100.0<br>100.0 | 100.0<br>100.0 | 100.0<br>100.0 | 50.0<br>57.1 | 100.0<br>92.9 | 100.0<br>100.0 | 100.0<br>100.0 | 90.0<br>92.9      |

 Table 8 The percentage of water samples from storage tanks that did not exceed the standard compared between Provincial and Municipal waterworks authorities

| u           | Water quality of storage tank |           |      |           |       |       |         |                      |                   |  |  |
|-------------|-------------------------------|-----------|------|-----------|-------|-------|---------|----------------------|-------------------|--|--|
| organizatio |                               | Physical  |      | Chemical  |       |       |         |                      |                   |  |  |
| Authority e | Colour                        | Turbidity | Iron | Manganese | TSS   | TDS   | Nitrate | Residual<br>chlorine | Total<br>Coliform |  |  |
| Provincial  | 66.7                          | 100.0     | 75.0 | 75.0      | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0   | 50.0                 | 100.0             |  |  |
| Municipal   | 57.1                          | 92.9      | 71.4 | 78.6      | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0   | 50.0                 | 100.0             |  |  |

Table 9 The percentage of water samples of household tap water that did not exceed the standard compared between Provincial and Municipal waterworks authorities

| C.          |        |           | W    | ater quali | ty of housel | nold tap wat | er      |                      |                   |  |
|-------------|--------|-----------|------|------------|--------------|--------------|---------|----------------------|-------------------|--|
| organizatio | _      | Physical  |      | Chemical   |              |              |         |                      |                   |  |
| Authority   | Colour | Turbidity | Iron | Manganese  | TSS          | SQT          | Nitrate | Residual<br>chlorine | Total<br>Coliform |  |
| Provincial  | 80.0   | 100.0     | 70.0 | 90.0       | 100.0        | 100.0        | 100.0   | 40.0                 | 100.0             |  |
| Municipal   | 58.3   | 100.0     | 75.0 | 83.3       | 100.0        | 100.0        | 100.0   | 25.0                 | 100.0             |  |

The p-values, means and SDs of the water qualities of the storage tanks and the household tap water were analyzed by Independent Sample Test (2-tailed) and concluded in Tables 11 and 12 respectively. The results of the p-values showed that there was no difference in all parameters of the water qualities of the storage tanks and the household tap water between the provincial and municipal waterworks authorities. However, they had high SD values similar to water resource qualities, which indicated that the data were fluctuating. On the other hand, when considering the mean values, it was shown that the provincial waterworks authority had better values than those of the municipal waterworks authority. These results indicated that the urban water supply system which is authorized by the municipal waterworks authority produced better water qualities than the urban water supply system of the provincial waterworks authority.

|           |       |       | Water  | source |        |         |          |
|-----------|-------|-------|--------|--------|--------|---------|----------|
| Parameter | Units | Prov  | incial | Muni   | icipal | p-value | Result   |
|           | _     | Mean  | SD     | Mean   | SD     |         |          |
| Colour    | Pt-Co | 136.9 | 103.1  | 112.2  | 84.1   | 0.524   | Non-sig. |
| Turbidity | NTU   | 12.2  | 14.0   | 9.8    | 10.5   | 0.629   | Non-sig. |
| pН        | -     | 6.9   | 0.6    | 7.1    | 0.3    | 0.225   | Non-sig. |
| DO        | mg/L  | 4.9   | 1.3    | 4.3    | 1.7    | 0.347   | Non-sig. |
| Iron      | mg/L  | 1.1   | 1.4    | 0.8    | 0.5    | 0.371   | Non-sig. |
| Manganese | mg/L  | 0.7   | 0.7    | 1.0    | 2.4    | 0.681   | Non-sig. |
| Hardness  | mg/L  | 40.0  | 35.7   | 55.8   | 35.9   | 0.300   | Non-sig. |
| Sulfate   | mg/L  | 0.01  | 0.01   | 0.02   | 0.02   | 0.019   | sig.     |
| Chloride  | mg/L  | 50.1  | 78.0   | 44.5   | 60.0   | 0.843   | Non-sig. |
| TSS       | mg/L  | 29.6  | 24.3   | 31.2   | 26.6   | 0.886   | Non-sig. |
| TDS       | mg/L  | 288.3 | 352.1  | 262.2  | 229.5  | 0.827   | Non-sig. |
| BOD       | mg/L  | 3.1   | 1.6    | 2.5    | 1.2    | 0.292   | Non-sig. |
| Nitrate   | mg/L  | 0.6   | 0.5    | 0.9    | 1.6    | 0.623   | Non-sig. |
| TKN       | mg/L  | 0.3   | 0.3    | 0.2    | 0.3    | 0.801   | Non-sig. |

Table 10 The conclusion of data analysis of water sources using Independent Sample Test (2-tailed)

Table 11 The conclusion of data analysis of storage tanks using Independent Sample Test (2-tailed)

|                   | _     |        | Stora | ige tank |        |         |          |
|-------------------|-------|--------|-------|----------|--------|---------|----------|
| Parameter         | Units | Provir | ncial | Muni     | icipal | p-value | Result   |
|                   |       | Mean   | SD    | Mean     | SD     |         |          |
| Colour            | Pt-Co | 18.8   | 16.8  | 13.8     | 11.5   | 0.383   | Non-sig. |
| Turbidity         | NTU   | 1.6    | 0.7   | 1.4      | 1.0    | 0.483   | Non-sig. |
| pH                | -     | 6.8    | 1.1   | 7.3      | 0.6    | 0.140   | Non-sig. |
| DO                | mg/L  | 5.8    | 1.1   | 5.6      | 1.5    | 0.737   | Non-sig. |
| Iron              | mg/L  | 0.4    | 0.4   | 0.4      | 0.6    | 0.732   | Non-sig. |
| Manganese         | mg/L  | 0.3    | 0.4   | 0.9      | 2.4    | 0.405   | Non-sig. |
| Chloride          | mg/L  | 28.2   | 24.3  | 50.9     | 61.2   | 0.241   | Non-sig. |
| TSS               | mg/L  | 27.6   | 34.0  | 22.4     | 25.5   | 0.656   | Non-sig. |
| TDS               | mg/L  | 125.2  | 65.8  | 217.3    | 244.0  | 0.218   | Non-sig. |
| Nitrate           | mg/L  | 0.7    | 0.6   | 1.1      | 1.8    | 0.402   | Non-sig. |
| Residual chlorine | mg/L  | 0.5    | 0.6   | 0.7      | 1.2    | 0.582   | Non-sig. |

Table 12 The conclusion of data analysis of household tap water using Independent Sample Test (2-tailed)

|                   | _     |        | Househol | ld tap water |        | _       |          |
|-------------------|-------|--------|----------|--------------|--------|---------|----------|
| Parameter         | Units | Provir | ncial    | Mun          | icipal | p-value | Result   |
|                   |       | Mean   | SD       | Mean         | SD     | -       |          |
| Colour            | Pt-Co | 12.4   | 9.8      | 13.3         | 9.2    | 0.825   | Non-sig. |
| Turbidity         | NTU   | 0.9    | 0.4      | 1.2          | 0.7    | 0.208   | Non-sig. |
| pH                | -     | 7.2    | 0.5      | 7.2          | 0.4    | 0.933   | Non-sig. |
| DO                | mg/L  | 5.5    | 0.9      | 5.4          | 0.9    | 0.798   | Non-sig. |
| Iron              | mg/L  | 0.4    | 0.5      | 0.4          | 0.6    | 0.963   | Non-sig. |
| Manganese         | mg/L  | 0.1    | 0.2      | 0.9          | 2.6    | 0.378   | Non-sig. |
| Chloride          | mg/L  | 29.1   | 25.5     | 56.7         | 67.5   | 0.236   | Non-sig. |
| TSS               | mg/L  | 17.6   | 17.6     | 20.1         | 20.4   | 0.770   | Non-sig. |
| TDS               | mg/L  | 152.9  | 94.7     | 245.6        | 287.4  | 0.342   | Non-sig. |
| Nitrate           | mg/L  | 0.4    | 0.4      | 1.3          | 2.1    | 0.155   | Non-sig. |
| Residual chlorine | mg/L  | 0.2    | 0.3      | 0.4          | 1.0    | 0.590   | Non-sig. |

However, in the conclusion of the sub-research project of a people satisfaction survey using questionnaires, it was found that 83.43% of people

were satisfied with the quality of water and the service of water supply authority organizations [9].

## 4. CONCLUSION

In conclusion, the water quality of the urban water supply systems had met the water quality standards for the parameters: turbidity, TSS, TDS, Nitrate and total coliform except for color and iron due to the retention time of the sedimentation process which was not the proper range. The presence of iron in water may be responsible for its coloration and it may come from iron pipes [10,11]. This might be contributing the problem of distribution system because iron and manganese compounds in distribution systems can clog pipes and support the growth of iron and manganese bacteria. These are causing taste and odor problems of water supply [12]. Besides, there were problems with the residual chlorine in the storage tanks and the household tap water which was lower than the standard limit and might affect population health. There was no difference between the performance of the provincial and municipal waterworks authorities. The outcome of this study can support the improvement of the urban water supply systems and their authority organizations.

## **5. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

This research was supported by Suranaree University of Technology, Thailand.

## 6. REFERENCES

- [1] WHO, Guidelines for Drinking Water Quality, 4th ed. 2011, pp. 1-541.
- [2] APHA, AWWA, and WEF, Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater, 22nd ed. American Public Health Association, 2012.
- [3] Agatemor C. and Okolo O.O., Studies of corrosion tendency of drinking water in the distribution system at the University of Benin, Environmentalist, Vol 28, 2008, pp. 379-384
- [4] Regina G. and Gediminas B., Assessment of Iron and Manganese Concentration Changes in Kaunas City Drinking Water Distribution System, Environmental Research, Vol 4(50), 2009, pp. 37-43.

- [5] Inglezakis V.J., Doula M.K., Aggelatou V. and Zorpas A.A., Removal of iron and manganese from underground water by use of natural minerals in batch mode treatment, Desalination and Water Treatment, Vol 18, 2010, pp. 341-346.
- [6] Racho P., Namgool S. and Namseethan K., Evaluation of the design and operation for water supply system in the Northeast, in Proc. 16th Conf. on National Environmental Engineering Conference, 2017.
- [7] Baig S.A., Xu X., and Khan R., Microbial water quality risks to public health: potable water assessment for a flood-affected town in northern Pakistan, J. of Rural and Remote Health, Vol. 12(3), Sep. 2012.
- [8] Khan K., Lu Y., Khan H., Zakir S., Ihsanullah, Khan S., Khan A.A., Wei L., and Wang T., Health risks associated with heavy metals in the drinking water of Swat, northern Pakistan, J. of Environmental Sciences, Vol. 25(10), Oct. 2013, pp. 2003-2013.
- [9] Racho P., Namseethan K. and Namgool S., Surviving-Oriented Evaluate of Urban Water Supply System in North-Eastern Region, in Proc. 16th Conf. on National Environmental Engineering Conference, 2017.
- [10] Peng C.Y., Korshin G.V., Valentine R., Hill A., Friedman M. and Reiber S., Characterization of elemental and structural composition of corrosion scales and deposits formed in drinking water distribution systems, J. Water Res, Vol 44, 2010, pp. 4570-4580.
- [11] Peng C.Y. and Korshin G.V., Speciation of trace inorganic contaminants in corrosion scales and deposits formed in drinking water distribution systems, J. Water Res, Vol 45, 2011, pp. 5553-5563.
- [12] Walkowiak J.J., Dymaczewski Z., Janiaczyk A.S., Nowicka A.B. and Szybowicz M, Efficiency of Mn Removal of Different Filtration Materials for Groundwater Treatment Linking Chemical and Physical Properties, Water, 2017.

Copyright © Int. J. of GEOMATE. All rights reserved, including the making of copies unless permission is obtained from the copyright proprietors.